

PRESENT: Dever, Chairman; Pelczar, Vice- Chairman; Clark, Thorpe, Flanders, Tivnan, Clerk

Clark – I would like to suggest that in the future, when the vote is not unanimous, the minutes indicate who the descending voter was and who voted each way. The reason for this is in case of an appeal, I think it would be necessary for the court to compare the voting record with the statements made by individual Board members. Flanders – I don't have a problem either way. Dever – I don't think it makes a difference but I don't have a problem with it. Tivnan- Warren, how would you like it? Clark – For instance, in the last one, it would say 4-1 in favor, Clark descended. Thorpe – On top of page 2, the very first line, it says detention basin and I think it should say retention. Tivnan – That was a scan copy of their letter. Thorpe – Very good. Thank-you.

Clark moved, Flanders seconded, THAT WE APPROVE THE MINUTES OF OCTOBER 9, 2008 AS PRESENTED. Voted unanimously.

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

**2860: ASSOCIATED SURVEYORS FOR KENNETH & MARGARET O'NEIL:** An appeal for a SPECIAL EXCEPTION to create an addition to the second floor where a portion of the proposed expansion falls within the 25' Natural Woodland Buffer, Tax Map U31, Lot No. 29, located at 18 Chicadee Lane in the Shoreline District.

Dever – The public notice on this was wrong. The information to the abutters was correct. The published notice said it was a special exception and it is a variance. So we can't hear this. I would like a motion to continue this until our next meeting. Clark- I move that we continue Case # 2860 until the next meeting. Wood (Associated Surveyors) - Mr. Chairman, before that is seconded, there are some questions that have come up regarding the application, as well as the notification. One involves the note regarding septic systems. Those information sheets were passed out to you. In discussing this with Bill Edney, I agree with him that we need to file for that. I understand the shoreline protection part of it, but I was not aware that the Board was doing that with regard to an expansion in a septic system, provided it was not a bedroom. Since then, Bill has taken the position that he would like this to be answered by the State before having a hearing. We will probably not have State approval by your next meeting. We don't know how long it will take to get State approval. It would have to be noticed in the paper and re-noticed to the abutters. Do we withdraw or postpone indefinitely and re-notice later? I would like to leave it in place with a continuance. Clark – I am willing to withdraw my motion and go along with Harry's suggestion.

Clark moved, Thorpe seconded, I MOVE THAT THIS APPLICATION BE DELAYED TO A DATE SPECIFIED BY THE APPLICANT WHICH WILL NECESSITATE RE-NOTIFICATION OF ABUTTERS. Voted 5-0 in favor.

Meeting adjourned at 7:15 PM

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Tivnan  
Planning/Zoning Clerk

Approved by the Meredith Zoning Board on \_\_\_\_\_, 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jack Dever, Chairman